

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.811.126>

Influence of Pruning Levels and Fertilizer Rates on Growth and Yield of *Jatropha curcas* L. (Physic Nut)

R.G. Sumed^{1*}, Shahbaz Noori², G.O. Manjunath¹, H. Shivanna³ and S.K. Patil¹

¹Department of Forest Products and Utilization, ²Department of Silviculture and Agroforestry, ³Department of Forest Biology and Tree Improvement, College of Forestry, Sirsi (UAS, Dharwad), India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Appropriate canopy management and proper application of fertilizer under different growing conditions and agronomic practices can obtain reliable yield of *Jatropha curcas* L. (Physic Nut). The objective of study was to determine the influence of pruning level in first year and fertilizer rate of combined NPK in the first and second year on growth and yield of Physic nut, conducted at Agricultural Research Station, Prabhunagar (Dharwad). The seeds were dibbled at 3 x 2m spacing apart. A split plot design with three replications was used. Four pruning levels of 100 cm, 150 cm, 200 cm and No pruning from the ground were assigned in main plots and combination of NPK fertilizers levels (40:40:40, 60:60:60, 80:80:80 N:P₂O₅:K₂O g/ plant) were arranged randomly in subplots. All pruning levels from the ground did not have significant effects on number of branches and collar diameter, whereas application of fertilizer did increase number of branches, collar diameter, fruit yield and seed yield (15.77, 18.71cm, 316.65 and 727.96 g per plant respectively). Number of branches and collar diameter of *Jatropha* were significantly higher in pruning at 100 cm height from ground level (16.94 and 17.04cm respectively) as compared to other pruning levels. It is thus recommended to prune Physic nut at 200 cm from the ground and apply fertilizer at the rate of (80:80:80 g H:P₂O₅:K₂O per plant) as it recorded maximum seed yield per plant (1142.95 g) and per hectare (1903.68 kg.) respectively over other treatment combinations.

Keywords

Biofuel, Fruit yield, Physic nut (*Jatropha curcas* L.), Pruning, Seed yield

Article Info

Accepted:
10 October 2019
Available Online:
10 November 2019

Introduction

Jatropha curcas L. (Physic Nut) is an under-utilized small tree native to the Central and South America. The plant has been distributed

to other parts of the world including Southeast Asia, India and Africa (Tan *et al.*, 2002). The crop flowers once a year during the rainy season (Raju and Ezradanam, 2002). However, it can flower all year around under

irrigated conditions and its yield is much higher (Heller, 1996). Physic nut is a promising crop for biofuel production (Sujatha *et al.*, 2008). It is well adapted to semi-arid conditions although, it yields better under more humid conditions (Achten *et al.*, 2008). It can be grown in the average temperatures between 20 and 28°C, a range of rainfall between 250 and 3000 mm and a wide range of soils although well drained and aerated soil is most favourable.

Physic nut is well adapted to marginal soils with low nutrient content (Heller, 1996) and annual seed yield of 2-3 t ha⁻¹ has been reported in semi-arid areas (Kumar and Sharma, 2008). However, the crop still requires high demand for N and P fertilization for high biomass production and high yield (Foidl *et al.*, 1996) and its annual seed yield of 5 t ha has been reported under good management and favourable environment (Kumar and Sharma, 2008). As an underutilized crop with high potential for biodiesel production, yield of physic nut is still low compared to other crops such as sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*), cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) and oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*). Drought, low soil fertility and lack of external sources of nutrients are major constraints. Production of Physic nut is also constrained by non availability of quality planting material and agro-techniques.

Systematic nutrient studies are scarce for physic nut and few studies are available in the literature. Yin *et al.*, (2010) observed that different levels of nitrogen fertilizer significantly affected growth, development, kernel set and yield of physic nut. Novoa and Loomis (1981) also found that application of nitrogen fertilizer significantly increased leaf area index, leaf area duration, crop photosynthetic rate and radiation interception and radiation use efficiency. Further investigations are still required to establish

fertilizer recommendation for physic nut of different ages and in different growing conditions.

Physic nut grown under commercial plantations need to be pruned to control plant size and to provide acceptable yield. Pruning is believed to assist the production of more branches and to stimulate abundant and healthy inflorescences, thus finally enhancing good fruit setting and seed yield (Gour, 2006). Achten *et al.*, (2008) suggested that pruning should be done in dormant period. The ten year old tree should be cut back to a stump of 45 cm and the tree will begin yielding again within 1 year. They also suggested annual pruning of the plantations by cutting 2/3 of terminal branches. Little information on the effects of pruning methods and fertilizer rates on yield of physic nut of different ages under rainfed conditions in the tropics and further investigation are required to optimize pruning methods and rates of applied fertilizer. The objective of the study was to determine the influence of pruning level in the first year and fertilizer rater of combined with NPK on growth and yield of physic nut.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at Agricultural Research Station of Prabhunagar, situated under University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad during September 2016. The soil type is black with coarse texture. Average annual rainfall recorded was about 1069 mm with average mean air temperature ranging from 30 °C. The plantation was established in the year 2016 and the experiment was initiated in the year of 2016 by dibbling seeds 3 × 2 m spacing to evaluate the influence of pruning methods and rate of fertilizer application on growth and yield of physic nut. The experiment was set up in split plot design with three replication. The main plot consisted of four pruning levels of 100 cm, 150 cm, 200

cm and no pruning from the ground and sub plots comprised combination of NPK fertilizers levels (40:40:40, 60:60:60, 80:80:80 N: P₂O₅: K₂O g/ plant). Each plot had three rows with 3 m long and spacing of 2 m and 1 m in between the plants within a row and could accommodate nine plants. Pruning was carried out in 2016 and fertilizer was applied as a single dose to the crop soon after pruning. The crop was allowed to grow until harvest under rainfed conditions. Weeding was done as needed. Conventional tillage was also practiced between the rows of plants. The crop was allowed to grow in 2017 without pruning, but the fertilizer at the proposed rate ratio was applied to the crop. Other management practices were similar to those in 2016.

Results and Discussion

Combined analysis of variance showed significant difference between among pruning levels and fertilizer rate for number of branches, collar diameter, fruit yield and seed yield per plant. The number of branches recorded at 450 days after treatment ranged from 13.21 (F₁) to 15.77 (F₃) among a fertilizers levels and 9.64 (P₄) to 16.94 (P₁) among the pruning levels. It seemed likely that taller pruning gave more branches than shorter pruning. Application of fertilizers levels differed statistically while the pruning levels at 150 cm and 200 cm were on par with each other. No pruning resulted in large number of primary branches (16.94) in comparison to pruning levels. The interaction effect revealed that the treatment combination 100 cm pruning level with fertilizer dose of 80:80:80 N: P₂O₅: K₂O g/ plant reported 22.10 numbers of branches showing significantly superior over all other treatment combinations while the least number of branches (9.17) was recorded under treatment of no pruning level combined with 60:60:60 N: P₂O₅: K₂O g/ plant. Supply of sufficient amount of nitrogen (80 g.) made it to produce more number of

branches due to enhanced photosynthetic rate of the plant. Thus fertilizer level of 80:80:80 N: P₂O₅: K₂O g/ plant yielded more numbers of branches (3.51) at 180 days after imposition of treatment (Table 1). The collar diameter on 450 days of experiment ranged from 13.96 cm to 18.71 cm among fertilizers levels and 14.56 cm to 17.04 cm among the pruning levels. No Pruning treatment recorded better collar diameter (17.04 cm) significantly superior other levels while pruning at 150 cm and 200 cm on par with each other. The combined treatment of combination of 80:80:80 N: P₂O₅: K₂O g/ plant with 150 cm pruning level from ground recorded superior collar diameter growth (21.07) over all other treatment combination (Table 2).

Number of Fruit per plant ranged from 210.42 to 316.65 among fertilizers levels and 184.51 to 386.35 among pruning levels. Higher fruit number (495.80) was recorded in treatment combination of 80:80:80 N: P₂O₅: K₂O g/ plant with 200 cm pruning level from ground while lowest fruit yield (140.20) was observed in no pruned treatment combination with recorded 40:40:40 N: P₂O₅: K₂O g/ plant. The higher doses of nitrogen application in *Jatropha* yield more number of fruits. Phosphorous application at 80 g. per plant had improved the fertilization of flower and later retention of fruits which were formed thus leading to more number of fruits. Increased fruits under K-fertilization can be attributed to assimilates and translocation of nutrients. The seed yield per plant ranged from 464.10 gram to 727.96 gram among fertilizers levels and 417.59 gram to 877.05 gram among pruning levels. The maximum seed yield (1142.95 gram) was recorded in the treatment combination of 200 cm pruning level from ground with 80:80:80 N: P₂O₅: K₂O g/ plant while lowest seed yield (310.29 g) was observed in treatment combination of no pruning with 40:40:40 N: P₂O₅: K₂O g/ plant (Table 3).

Table.1 Influence of pruning and fertilizer levels on number of branches in *Jatropha* (*Jatropha curcas*)

Treatment Combinations	June				September				December				March			
	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean
P ₁ -100 cm	1.91	2.41	5.25	3.19	7.66	8.30	11.41	9.12	11.33	11.42	19.00	13.91	14.16	14.58	22.10	16.94
P ₂ – 150 cm	2.58	3.01	2.91	2.83	7.58	8.00	8.83	8.13	11.67	12.75	12.58	12.33	14.75	15.17	15.50	15.14
P ₃ – 200 cm	3.00	3.03	3.47	3.16	8.41	9.08	8.41	8.63	12.00	13.33	12.08	12.47	14.50	15.67	15.17	15.11
P ₄ - No pruning	1.50	2.00	2.41	1.97	4.33	4.67	5.58	4.86	7.58	6.84	8.25	7.55	9.41	9.17	10.34	9.64
Mean	2.25	2.61	3.51	2.78	7.00	7.51	8.86	7.79	10.64	11.83	12.98	11.81	13.21	13.64	15.77	14.20
For comparing	S. Em (±)		CD 5%		S. Em (±)		CD 5%		S. Em (±)		CD 5%		S. Em (±)		CD 5%	
Fertilizer (F)	0.16		0.49		0.22		0.65		0.27		0.79		0.21		0.61	
Pruning (P)	0.19		0.57		0.25		0.75		0.31		0.91		0.24		0.71	
Interaction (FxP)	0.34		1.01		0.44		1.29		0.54		1.58		0.42		1.22	

F₁- 40:40:40; F₂- 60:60:60; F₃- 80:80:80 N; P₂O₅: K₂O₅ g/plant

Table.2 Influence of pruning and fertilizer levels on collar diameter in *Jatropha curcas*

Treatment Combinations	June				September				December				March			
	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	Mean
P ₁ -100 cm	7.86	7.94	10.20	8.67	9.78	10.00	12.16	10.64	12.20	12.01	15.61	13.27	14.26	14.61	20.60	16.50
P ₂ – 150 cm	7.01	7.83	12.38	9.07	8.11	10.20	16.10	11.47	11.13	13.30	18.75	14.40	14.01	16.03	21.05	17.09
P ₃ – 200 cm	7.91	8.26	11.05	9.06	9.86	10.88	12.01	10.92	12.41	13.76	14.90	13.69	14.90	16.96	17.94	16.60
P ₄ - No pruning	7.13	7.70	8.75	7.86	8.95	9.60	10.68	9.74	11.23	11.36	11.75	11.45	14.68	14.28	15.24	14.56
Mean	7.48	7.93	10.60	8.67	9.17	10.17	12.74	10.69	11.74	12.61	15.25	13.20	14.46	15.47	18.70	16.19
For comparing	S. Em (±)		CD 5%		S. Em (±)		CD 5%		S. Em (±)		CD 5%		S. Em (±)		CD 5%	
Fertilizer (F)	0.16		0.49		0.22		0.66		0.22		0.67		0.23		0.69	
Pruning (P)	0.18		0.54		0.26		0.77		0.26		0.78		0.26		0.77	
Interaction (FxP)	1.39		6.89		2.34		6.89		2.38		6.99		2.34		6.84	

F₁- 40:40:40; F₂- 60:60:60; F₃- 80:80:80 N; P₂O₅: K₂O₅ g/plant

Table.3 Influence of pruning and fertilizer levels on number of fruit per plant and seed yield per plant in *Jatropha curcas*

Treatment Combination	Number of fruit per plant				Seed yield (g) per plant			
	F1	F2	F3	Mean	F1	F2	F3	Mean
P ₁ -100 cm	170.35	210.32	240.31	207.10	371.66	470.09	554.01	464.97
P ₂ – 150 cm	246.00	284.00	310.50	280.20	539.82	640.70	707.10	629.21
P ₃ – 200 cm	285.15	378.10	495.80	386.35	632.41	855.79	1142.95	877.05
P ₄ - No pruning	140.20	193.34	220.00	184.51	310.29	434.08	508.64	417.59
Mean	210.42	266.41	316.65		464.10	600.10	727.96	
For comparing	S. Em (±)		CD 5%		S. Em (±)		CD 5%	
Fertilizer (F)	21.82		63.98		49.04		143.80	
Pruning (P)	25.19		73.89		56.62		166.07	
Interaction (F×P)	43.64		128		98.08		287.66	

This was due to continued supply of nutrients and maintaining of photosynthetic activity of leaves throughout the growth period especially during seed filling stage which is major requirement for higher yield (Pepole *et al.*, 1980).

Pruning levels at 150 cm and 200 cm from ground level did not show mean significant difference in collar diameter, number of branches per plant and number of fruit per plant. However, application of fertilizer in the ratio of 80:80:80 N: P₂O₅: K₂O g/ plant gave highest number of branches, fruits and seeds per plant. The treatment combination 100 cm pruning level with fertilizer dose of 80:80:80 N: P₂O₅: K₂O g/ plant reported 22.10 numbers of branches while combination of 80:80:80 N:

P₂O₅: K₂O g/ plant with 150 cm pruning level from ground recorded superior collar diameter growth (21.07) over all other treatment combination. Higher fruit number (495.80) and seed yield (1142.95 gram) was recorded in treatment combination of 80:80:80 N: P₂O₅: K₂O g/ plant with 200 cm pruning level from ground.

References

- Achten, W. M. J., Verchot, L., Franken, Y. J., Singh, V. P and Muys, B., 2008, *Jatropha* bio-diesel production and use (a literature review). *Biomass Bioenergy*, 32: 1063 1084.
- Foidl, N., Foidl, G., Sanchez, M., Mittlebach, M. and Hackel, S., 1996, *Jatropha*

- curcas* L. as a source for the production of biofuel in Nicaragua. *Bioresour. Tech.*, 58: 77-82.
- Gour, V. K., 2006, Production practices including post harvest management of *Jatropha curcas*. *Proceedings of the Biodiesel Conference toward Energy Independence-Focus of Jatropha*, June 9-10, Hyderabad, India, New Delhi, Rashtrapati Bhavan, pp: 223-251.
- Heller, J., 1996, Physic Nut (*Jatropha Curcas* L.): Promoting the conservation and use of under-utilized and neglected crops. 1st Edn. *International Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research Notes, Gatersleben* (IPGRI), Rome, Italy, pp: 66.
- Kumar, A. and Sharma, S., 2008, An evaluation of multipurpose oilseed crop for industrial uses (*Jatropha curcas* L.): A review. *Ind. Crops Prod.*, 28: 1-10.
- Novoa, R. and Loomis, R. S., 1981, Nitrogen and plant production. *Plant Soil.*, 58: 177- 204.
- Raju, A. J. S. and Ezradanam, V., 2002, Pollination ecology and fruiting behavior in a monoecious species, *Jatropha curcas* L. (Euphorbiaceae). *Curr. Sci.*, 83: 1395-1398.
- Sujatha, M., Reddy, T. P and Mahas, M. J., 2008, Role of biotechnological interventions in the improvement of castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) and *Jatropha curcas* L. *Biotech. Adv.*, 26: 424-443.
- Tan, R. R., Culaba, A. B. and Purvis, M. R. I., 2002, Application of possibility theory in the life cycle inventory assessment of biofuels. *Int. J. Energy Res.*, 26: 737-745.
- Yin, L., Hu, T. X., Lui, A., Yao, S. F., Ma, J., Liu, W. T. and He, C., 2010, Effect of drought on photosynthetic characteristics and growth of *Jatropha curcas* seedlings under different nitrogen levels. *Ying Yong Sheng Tai Xue Bao*, 21: 569-576.

How to cite this article:

Sumed, R.G., Shahbaz Noori, G.O. Manjunath, H. Shivanna and Patil, S.K. 2019. Influence of Pruning Levels and Fertilizer Rates on Growth and Yield of *Jatropha curcas* L. (Physic Nut). *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 8(11): 1071-1077. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.811.126>